arXiv:1304.6889v2 [cs.SC] 30 May 2013

ON REDUCED GRÖBNER BASIS AND MACAULAY-BUCHBERGER BASIS THEOREM OVER NOETHERIAN RINGS

MARIA FRANCIS AND AMBEDKAR DUKKIPATI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we extend the characterization of $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle f\rangle$, where $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ to be a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module to multivariate polynomial rings over any commutative Noetherian ring, A. The characterization allows us to extend the Gröbner basis method of computing a $\Bbbk$-vector space basis of residue class polynomial rings over a field $\Bbbk$ (Macaulay-Buchberger Basis Theorem) to rings, i.e. $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ is an ideal. We give some insights into the characterization for two special cases, when $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and $A = \Bbbk[\theta_1,\dots,\theta_m]$. As an application of this characterization, we show that the concept of Border bases can be extended to rings when the corresponding residue class ring is a finitely generated, free A-module.

1. INTRODUCTION

Buchberger (1965) introduced the algorithmic theory of Gröbner bases and gave an algorithm for finding a $\Bbbk$-vector space basis of the residue class ring of a zero dimensional ideal. Since then the theory of Gröbner bases has become a standard tool in computational ideal theory and algebraic geometry. Subsequently, the theory of Gröbner bases has been extended to different variations of the polynomial ring. The variants include polynomial rings over rings (G.Zacharias, 1978), monoid rings (Madlener & Reinert, 1993; Ackermann & Kreuzer, 2006), free associative algebras (Eisenbud *et al.*, 1998), etc. This paper deals with some aspects of Gröbner bases of polynomial rings over commutative Noetherian rings.

To extend Gröbner bases theory for polynomial rings over a ring A, various approaches have been proposed (e .g. Trinks, 1978; Möller, 1988; G.Zacharias, 1978). For a good exposition on Gröbner bases over rings one can refer to (Adams & Loustaunau, 1994). However, these approaches only looked at extending basic definitions and concepts over rings, and validity of many important results were not explored (Greuel *et al.*, 2011). Recently, there has been renewed interest in polynomial rings over rings (Greuel *et al.*, 2011). For instance, certain residue class rings over $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ called ideal lattices (Micciancio, 2002) have shown to be isomorphic to integer lattices, an important cryptographic primitive (Ajtai, 1996) and certain cyclic lattices in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ have been used in NTRU cryptographic schemes (Hoffstein *et al.*, 1998). Boolean polynomial rings over a boolean ring have been used to solve Sudoku and other combinatorial puzzles (Sato *et al.*, 2011). Further, polynomial rings over $\mathbb{Z}/2^k$ have been used to prove the correctness of data paths in system-on-chip design (Greuel *et al.*, 2011). Also, the widely used degree truncated polynomial rings are actually the quotient rings of the form, $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\langle x^{r_1} - 1, \cdots, x^{r_n} - 1\rangle$.

As mentioned above, ideal lattices are integer lattices that are ideals as well in certain residue class polynomial rings over $\mathbb{Z}$. For all ideals in a residue class ring to be lattices the ring itself should be isomorphic to an integer lattice. In $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, the necessary and sufficient condition for the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle f\rangle$ to be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^n$, where $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and n is the degree of the polynomial, is that f should be a monic polynomial. Ideal lattices in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with an extra condition that f should be an irreducible polynomial, are used in cryptography. Finding an approximate shortest vector is hard in these algebraic structures making them a good choice to build efficient collision-resistant hash functions (Lyubashevsky, 2008). The question we ask here is that how to characterize an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that all ideals in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ are isomorphic to integer lattices, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free. In this paper, we study the more general problem of characterizing residue class polynomial rings over arbitrary rings as free modules. This characterization involves reduced Gröbner basis over rings.

Contributions. One of the recent works in the theory of Gröbner bases of polynomial rings over rings has been the extension of the concept of reduced Gröbner bases to polynomial rings over arbitrary rings (Pauer, 2007) and over polynomial rings in particular (Nabeshima, 2009). We use the definition of reduced Gröbner basis (Pauer, 2007) and a restriction on the ideals in the coefficient ring A to define a basis called 'short reduced Gröbner basis' to arrive at a necessary and sufficient condition for a finitely generated $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ to be free. We then state the Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem for a free $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ which gives a Gröbner basis algorithm to determine an A-module basis for $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$.

We look at the characterization for two special rings, $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and $A = \Bbbk[\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m]$. In the case of $A = \Bbbk[\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m]$, we look at another definition of reduced Gröbner basis given by Nabeshima (2009) called strong reduced Gröbner basis and prove the characterization in terms of this definition as well. We also show that the short reduced Gröbner basis is the same as the strong reduced Gröbner basis when $A = \Bbbk[\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m]$.

An important application of the characterization is that we can directly extend the concept of Border bases previously defined for zero-dimensional ideals in $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ to ideals in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ which satisfy the conditions given in the characterization.

Organization. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we look at preliminaries relating to Gröbner bases over rings and how it can be used to obtain the generating set of the associated quotient ring. In Section 3, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the quotient ring $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ to be free. In Section 4, we give the Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem for free A-modules if $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free along with an algorithm to compute an A-module basis. We study two special cases of A

in Section 5. In Section 6, we extend Border bases to $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ directly, the characterization enables us to do so.

2. Background & Preliminaries

2.1. **Notations.** Throughout this paper, $\Bbbk$ denotes a field, A a Noetherian commutative ring, $\mathbb{N}$ the set of natural numbers and $\mathbb{Z}$ the ring of integers. A polynomial ring in indeterminates $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ over A is denoted as $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n](=A[X])$. When $A=\Bbbk[\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_m]$, we represent the coefficient ring as $A[\Theta]$ and the polynomial ring as $A[\Theta][X]$. We represent a monomial in $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ as x^α where $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$. The monoid isomorphism between the set of all monomials in indeterminates $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ and $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ allows us to denote the set of all monomials as $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$. We assume that there is a monomial order $\prec$ on the monomials in the indeterminates $x_1,\ldots,x_n$. With respect to this monomial order, we have the leading monomial ($\mathrm{lm}_\prec$), leading coefficient ($\mathrm{lc}_\prec$), leading term ($\mathrm{lt}_\prec$) and degree of a polynomial ($\deg_\prec$), where $\mathrm{lt}_\prec(f)=\mathrm{lc}_\prec(f)\mathrm{lm}_\prec(f)$ and $\deg_\prec(f)=\deg_\prec(\mathrm{lm}_\prec(f))$ in $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$. With this notation, the leading term ideal (or initial ideal) of a set $S\subseteq A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$, is $\langle \mathrm{lt}_\prec(S)\rangle=\langle\{\mathrm{lt}_\prec(f)\mid f\in S\}\rangle$. When there is no confusion regarding which monomial order to consider we omit the monomial order subscript $\prec$ from the notations.

2.2. **Gröbner Bases Over Rings.** We give below certain important results in the theory of Gröbner bases for polynomial rings over A. We follow the exposition given in (Adams & Loustaunau, 1994).

Definition 2.1. *Given any two polynomials f and h and a set of non-zero polynomials $F=\{f_1,\ldots,f_s\}$ in $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$, we say that f reduces to h modulo F in one step denoted by $f\xrightarrow{F}h$, if and only if*

$$h=f-(c_1x^{\alpha_1}f_1+\cdots+c_sx^{\alpha_s}f_s)$$

for $c_1,\ldots c_s\in A$ and monomials $x^{\alpha_1},\ldots,x^{\alpha_s}$ where $\mathrm{lm}(f)=x^{\alpha_i}\mathrm{lm}(f_i)$ for all i such that $c_i\neq 0$ and

$$\mathrm{lt}(f)=c_1x^{\alpha_1}\mathrm{lt}(f_1)+\cdots+c_sx^{\alpha_s}\mathrm{lt}(f_s).$$

In the above definition, it can be seen that the concept of reduction is slightly different in rings. We will iterate the reduction process and when the reduction cannot be carried on further, the polynomial obtained at the termination is said to be *minimal* with respect to F.
The two conditions that A needs to have in order to compute Gröbner basis for ideals over A are given below.

Definition 2.2. *A set of linear equations over a ring A is said to be solvable in A if*
(i) Given $a,a_1,\ldots,a_m\in A$, there is an algorithm to determine whether $a\in\langle a_1,\ldots,a_m\rangle_A$ and if it is, to compute $b_1,\ldots,b_m\in A$ such that $a=a_1b_1+\cdots+a_mb_m$;

(ii) Given $a_1,\ldots,a_m \in A$*, there is an algorithm that computes a set of generators for the* A*-module,*

$$\mathrm{Syz}_A(a_1,\cdots,a_m) = \{(b_1,\ldots,b_m) \in A^m | a_1b_1 + \cdots + a_mb_m = 0\}.$$

Examples of such rings include $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_m$ etc. In this paper, we assume that any system of linear equations is solvable in A. We can state the multivariate division algorithm over the ring A as follows.

Theorem 2.3 ((Adams & Loustaunau, 1994))**.** *Let* $f, f_1, \ldots, f_s \in A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ *with* $f_1,\ldots,f_s \neq 0$ *and the set* $F = \{f_1,\ldots,f_s\}$*. Then there is an* $r \in A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$*, minimal with respect to* F*, such that* $f \xrightarrow{F} r$*. Moreover, there are* $h_1,\ldots,h_s \in A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ *such that*

$$f = h_1f_1 + \cdots + h_sf_s + r$$

with

$$\mathrm{lm}(f) = \max((\max_{1\leq i\leq s} \mathrm{lm}(h_i)\mathrm{lm}(f_i)), \mathrm{lm}(r)).$$

If linear equations are solvable in A*, then* $h_1,\ldots,h_s,r$ *are computable.*

Now we give the definition of Gröbner bases over a ring, A.

Definition 2.4. *Let* $\mathfrak{a}$ *be an ideal in* $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ *and* $G = \{g_1,\ldots,g_t\}$ *be a set of non-zero polynomials in* $\mathfrak{a}$*.* G *is called a Gröbner basis of an ideal* $\mathfrak{a}$ *in* $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ *iff the ideal given by the leading terms of polynomials in* $\mathfrak{a}$ *is itself generated by the leading terms of the basis* G*, i.e.* $\langle \mathrm{lt}(G)\rangle = \langle \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})\rangle$*.*

Theorem 2.5 ((Adams & Loustaunau, 1994))**.** *Let* $\mathfrak{a}$ *be an ideal of* $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ *and let* $G = \{g_1,\ldots,g_t\}$ *be a set of non-zero polynomials in* $\mathfrak{a}$*. Then the following are equivalent.*
(i) G *is a Gröbner basis.*
(ii) For any polynomial $f \in A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ *we have*

$$f \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ if and only if } f \xrightarrow{G}_+ 0.$$

(iii) For all $f \in \mathfrak{a}$*,* $f = h_1g_1 + \cdots + h_tg_t$ *for some polynomials* $h_1,\ldots,h_t \in A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ *such that* $\mathrm{lm}(f) = \max_{1\leq i\leq t}(\mathrm{lm}(h_i)\mathrm{lm}(g_i))$*.*

We have the following corollaries that follow easily from the above theorem.

Corollary 2.6. *If* G *is a Gröbner basis for the ideal* $\mathfrak{a}$ *in* $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$*, then* $\mathfrak{a} = \langle G\rangle$*.*

Corollary 2.7. *If* G *is a Gröbner basis and* $f \in \langle G\rangle$ *and* $f \xrightarrow{G}_+ r$*, where* r *is minimal, then* $r = 0$*.*

Corollary 2.8. *Let* $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ *be a non-zero ideal. Then* $\mathfrak{a}$ *has a finite Gröbner basis.*

Now we state the Buchberger criterion for rings. The theorem statement is the same for rings and fields, but in the case of rings, the syzygy modules are submodules of $(A[x_1,\ldots,x_n])^s$. The proof parallels exactly the proof in the case of fields.

Theorem 2.9 ((Adams & Loustaunau, 1994)). *Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ be a set of non-zero polynomials in $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a homogeneous set for* $\mathrm{Syz}(\mathrm{lt}(g_1), \dots, \mathrm{lt}(g_t))$. *Then G is a Gröbner basis for the ideal $\langle g_1, \dots, g_t\rangle$ if and only if for all $(h_1, \dots, h_t) \in \mathcal{B}$,we have*

$$h_1 g_1 + \cdots + h_t g_t \xrightarrow{G}_+ 0.$$

Definition 2.10. *For any subset $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$, let $x^{\alpha_J} = \mathrm{lcm}(x^{\alpha_j} : j \in J)$. We say that J is saturated with respect to $x^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x^{\alpha_s}$, provided that for all $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, if $x^{\alpha_j} \mid x^{\alpha_J}$, then $j \in J$.*

The below theorem gives a construction for a homogeneous generating set for $\mathrm{Syz}(c_1 x^{\alpha_1}, \dots, c_s x^{\alpha_s})$.

Theorem 2.11 ((Adams & Loustaunau, 1994)). *For each set $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$, which is saturated with respect to $x^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x^{\alpha_s}$, let $\mathcal{B}_J = \{b_{1J}, \dots, b_{\nu_J J}\}$ be a generating set for the A-module of syzygies* $\mathrm{Syz}_A(c_j | j \in J)$. *(Each $b_{\nu J}$ is in the A-module $A^{|J|}$). For each such $b_{\nu J}$, denote its j th coordinate by ${b_{\nu J}}^{(j)}$. Set*

$$s_{\nu J} = \sum_{j \in J} {b_{\nu J}}^{(j)} \frac{x^{\alpha_J}}{x^{\alpha_j}} e_j,$$

where $s_{\nu J}$ is an element in $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]^s$. Then the set of vectors $s_{\nu J}$, for J ranging over all such saturated subsets of $\{1, \dots, s\}$ and $1 \le \nu \le \nu_J$, forms a homogeneous generating set for the syzygy module $\mathrm{Syz}(c_1 x^{\alpha_1}, \dots, c_s x^{\alpha_s})$.

The set of vectors $\{s_{\nu J}\}$ play the same role in rings as S-polynomials do over fields.

2.3. **Coset Representatives of** $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$**.** We say that the ring A has 'effective coset representatives' if for any ideal I in A, we can determine a complete set C of coset representatives of A/I and we have a procedure to find, for all $a \in A$, an element $c \in C$ such that $a \equiv c \bmod I$. Such rings include $\mathbb{Z}$ and the finite rings $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Consider a Gröbner basis $G = \{g_1, \dots . g_t\}$ for the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. With respect to the set $\{\mathrm{lt}(g_1), \dots, \mathrm{lt}(g_t)\}$, we consider the saturated subsets $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, t\}$. Then for each saturated subset $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, t\}$, we let I_J denote the ideal of A generated by $\{\mathrm{lc}(g_i) : i \in J\}$ (if $J = \phi$ then $I_J = \{0\}$). Let C_J denote a complete set of coset representatives for A/I_J. Also, for each monomial x^α, let $J_{x^\alpha} = \{i : \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \mid x^\alpha\}$.

Definition 2.12. *We call a polynomial $r \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ totally reduced if for every monomial x^α, if cx^α is the corresponding term of r, then $c \in C_{J_{x^\alpha}}$. For a given polynomial $f \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we call a polynomial $r \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ a normal form for f provided that $f \equiv r \pmod I$ and r is totally reduced.*

The normal form depends not only on G but also on the choices of the sets of coset representatives C_J for the set of saturated subsets J. The following theorem gives us the complete set of coset representatives for $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$.

Theorem 2.13 ((Adams & Loustaunau, 1994))**.** *Let G be a Gröbner basis for the non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Assume that for each saturated subset $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, t\}$, C_J is a complete set of coset representatives for the ideal I_J. Every $f \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has a unique normal form, where the uniqueness is upto $C_J, J \subseteq \{1, \dots, t\}$.*

We will illustrate the above method with the following example.

Example 2.14. *Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ with $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5\}$ as its Gröbner basis where $f_1 = 4xy+x, f_2 = 3x^2+y, f_3 = 5x, f_4 = 4y^2+y, f_5 = 5y$. For any monomial, $x^\alpha \notin \{1, x, y\}$, $I_{J_{x^\alpha}} = \mathbb{Z}$ and therefore $C_{J_{x^\alpha}} = \{0\}$. For 1, we have $I_{J_1} = \{0\}$ and $C_{J_1} = \mathbb{Z}$. For x, we have $I_{J_x} = \langle 5 \rangle$ and $C_{J_x} = \mathbb{Z}_5$. For y, we have $I_{J_y} = \langle 5 \rangle$ and $C_{J_y} = \mathbb{Z}_5$. Therefore the complete set of coset representatives is the set of $\{a + bx + cy \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{Z}_5, c \in \mathbb{Z}_5\}$.*

2.4. Standard Monomials and Macaulay-Buchberger Basis Theorem in $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Here, we recall some definitions and the Macaulay-Buchberger Basis theorem.

Definition 2.15. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an ideal. We call a monomial x^α in $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, a standard monomial w.r.t. $\mathfrak{a}$ if none of the leading terms of the ideal divide the monomial, i.e. $x^\alpha \notin \langle \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$.*

Corollary 2.16. *Let G be a Gröbner basis for an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. A monomial, x^α is a standard monomial w.r.t. $\mathfrak{a}$ if and only if $x^\alpha \notin \langle \mathrm{lt}(G) \rangle$.*

Theorem 2.17 (Macaulay Basis Theorem (Kreuzer & Robbiano, 2000))**.** *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. The residue classes of the terms in $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ form a $\mathbb{k}$-vector space basis of $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$. That is, the $\mathbb{k}$-vector space basis of $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ is $S = \{x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a} : x^\alpha \notin \langle \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle\}$.*

The theory of Gröbner bases gives us an algorithmic method to determine the $\mathbb{k}$-vector space basis of $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$. Therefore, the Macaulay Basis theorem can be stated in the following manner as well and we refer to it as the Macaulay-Buchberger Basis Theorem in $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Theorem 2.18 (Macaulay-Buchberger Basis Theorem (Buchberger, 1965))**.** *Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ be a Gröbner basis for an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. A basis for the vector space $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ is given by $S = \{x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a} : \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \nmid x^\alpha, i = 1, \dots, t\}$.*

3. CHARACTERIZATION OF FINITELY GENERATED $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ AS A FREE A - MODULE

One can extend the definition of reduced Gröbner basis in the case of polynomial rings over fields directly to rings but defined that way a reduced Gröbner basis may not always exist (Arnold, 2003). A definition of reduced Gröbner basis over rings is given by Pauer (2007), and it ensures the existence of a reduced Gröbner basis for any ideal in a polynomial ring over the ring A. Henceforth, reduced Gröbner basis refers to Pauer's definition of reduced Gröbner basis unless otherwise stated. Before we proceed further we give a brief account of this concept.

3.1. **Reduced Gröbner Bases over Rings (Pauer, 2007).**

We introduce the following notations and definitions. For any ideal I in A, $\mathrm{Gen}(I)$ denotes a finite system of generators of I, and for any ideal I in A and any coset $z + I \subseteq A$, $\eta(z, I)$ denotes a mapping such that $\eta(z, I) \in z + I$ and $\eta(0, I) = 0$.

Example 3.1. *Let $A = \mathbb{Z}$. Let I be an ideal generated by $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ and $a = \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$. Let $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we can choose $\mathrm{Gen}(I) = \{a\}$ and $\eta(z, I) = z \bmod a$.*

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Let G be a Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$ and let $\mathrm{lm}(G)$ denote the set of leading monomials in G. We represent the leading coefficient ideal of all polynomials in $\mathfrak{a}$ of degree α as $\langle \mathrm{lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle$, i.e. $\langle \mathrm{lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle = \langle \mathrm{lc}(f) : f \in \mathfrak{a}, \deg(f) = \alpha\rangle$. Similarly, the leading coefficient ideal of all polynomials in $\mathfrak{a}$ such that the leading monomial of the polynomials divide x^α is denoted as $\langle \mathrm{lc}(< \alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle$. We have $\langle \mathrm{lc}(< \alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle := \langle \mathrm{lc}(f) : f \in \mathfrak{a}, \alpha \in \deg(f) + \mathbb{N}^n, \alpha \neq \deg(f)\rangle$. We use $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ to represent the set of all non-zero $\eta(a, \langle \mathrm{lc}(< \alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle)$, where a belongs to the set of all generators of $\langle \mathrm{lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle$. We give below the formal definition of $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$.

Definition 3.2. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Let G be a Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$ and let $\mathrm{lm}(G)$ denote the set of leading monomials in G. Let $\langle \mathrm{lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle$ be the leading coefficient ideal of all polynomials in $\mathfrak{a}$ of degree α and $\langle \mathrm{lc}(< \alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle$ be the leading coefficient ideal of all polynomials in $\mathfrak{a}$ such that the leading monomial of the polynomials divide x^α. For each $x^\alpha \in \mathrm{lm}(G)$ we have,*

$$\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) = \{\eta(a, \langle \mathrm{lc}(< \alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle) : a \in \mathrm{Gen}(\langle \mathrm{lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle)\} \setminus \{0\},$$

where $\eta(a, \langle \mathrm{lc}(< \alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle)$ maps to an element in the coset $a + \langle \mathrm{lc}(< \alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle$.

We proceed now to Pauer's definition of reduced Gröbner basis over rings.

Definition 3.3 ((Pauer, 2007))**.** *A Gröbner basis G of $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a reduced Gröbner basis w.r.t. a monomial order $\prec$ iff*

(i) for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ such that $x^\alpha \in \mathrm{lm}(G)$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \{g \in G : \deg(g) = \alpha\} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \\ g &\longmapsto \mathrm{lc}(g) \end{aligned}$$

is bijective and

(ii) for all $g := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_{\beta,g} x^\beta \in G$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $\alpha \neq \deg(g)$ and $c_{\alpha,g} \neq 0$ we have $c_{\alpha,g} = \eta(c_{\alpha,g}, \langle \mathrm{lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle)$.

Theorem 3.4 ((Pauer, 2007))**.** *There exists a reduced Gröbner basis for every ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.*

It can be seen that different choices of the generators for the leading coefficient ideal of each leading monomial in G, $\mathrm{Gen}(\langle \mathrm{lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})\rangle)$, lead to different $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$, which in turn lead to different reduced Gröbner bases. Once we fix $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ for all $x^\alpha \in \mathrm{lm}(G)$, the reduced Gröbner basis G is unique.

Theorem 3.5 ((Pauer, 2007))**.** *The reduced Gröbner basis G for an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is unique upto* $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ *for all* $x^\alpha \in \mathrm{lm}(G)$*.*

Later in this paper, we show that the minimality of the generating set, $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ for each leading monomial x^α in G is necessary for characterizing a finitely generated $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ as a free A-module. We call such a reduced Gröbner basis as 'short reduced Gröbner basis'.

Example 3.6. *Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ for which a Gröbner basis, $G = \{3x^2, 5x^2, y\}$. Let us calculate a short reduced Gröbner basis. For the leading monomial x^2,* $\mathrm{Gen}((2,0), \mathfrak{a}) = \{\gcd(3,5)\} = \{1\}$ *is the minimal generating set. For the leading monomial y,* $\mathrm{Gen}((0,1), \mathfrak{a}) = \{1\}$ *is the minimal generating set. The short reduced Gröbner basis for the ideal is therefore $G = \{x^2, y\}$.*
Now for the same ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, let us assume that $\mathrm{Gen}(\langle \mathrm{lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle)$ *is taken as the same set of generators given in the basis and not their* gcd*. Therefore, for the leading monomial x^2,* $\mathrm{Gen}((2,0), \mathfrak{a}) = \{3, 5\}$ *and for the leading monomial y,* $\mathrm{Gen}((0,1), \mathfrak{a}) = \{1\}$*. For each degree $\alpha \in \{(2,0), (0,1)\}$, if we look at the map between* $\{g \in G : \deg(g) = \alpha\}$ *and* $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ *given by each element g mapping to its leading coefficient, it is a bijective map. Therefore $G = \{3x^2, 5x^2, y\}$ is a reduced Gröbner basis w.r.t. this definition of* $\mathrm{Gen}(\langle \mathrm{lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle)$*. Thus,* $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ *is a factor that determines the reduced Gröbner basis.*

3.2. **Characterization.** Consider $f \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Given a Gröbner basis $G = \{g_i : i = 1, \dots, t\}$ for an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, f has a unique normal form w.r.t. $\mathfrak{a}$. Recall that, $J_{x^\alpha} = \{i : \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \mid x^\alpha, g_i \in G\}$ and $I_{J_{x^\alpha}} = \langle \{\mathrm{lc}(g_i) : i \in J_{x^\alpha}\} \rangle$. Consider $A/I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$. Let $C_{J_{x^\alpha}}$ represent the set of coset representatives of the equivalence classes in $A/I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$. We can easily compute the normal form of f, i.e. $f = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} c_i x^{\alpha_i} \bmod \mathfrak{a}$, where $c_i \in C_{J_{x^{\alpha_i}}}$. If $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is a finitely generated A-module of size m, then we can then define a mapping, ϕ between a finitely generated $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ and $A/I_{J_{x^{\alpha_1}}} \times \cdots \times A/I_{J_{x^{\alpha_m}}}$ given as,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} &\longrightarrow A/I_{J_{x^{\alpha_1}}} \times \cdots \times A/I_{J_{x^{\alpha_m}}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} &\longmapsto (c_1, \cdots, c_m) \end{aligned}$$

It is a surjective mapping by construction. Consider the case when $I_{J_{x^{\alpha_i}}} = \{0\}, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. We then have $C_{J_{x^{\alpha_i}}} = A \ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. The mapping ϕ in that case is an isomorphism which implies $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} \cong A^m$, i.e. it is a free A-module. Note that every basis of a finitely generated free A-module is finite.

We give below the definition of standard monomials in $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Definition 3.7. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an ideal. We call a monomial x^α in $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, a standard monomial w.r.t. $\mathfrak{a}$ if none of the leading terms of the ideal divide the monomial, i.e. $x^\alpha \notin \langle \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$.*

We present below two results that give a necessary and sufficient condition for a finitely generated $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ to be a free A-module.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a non-zero ideal. Let G be a Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. some monomial ordering. If G is monic then $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free.*

Proof. Let $G = \{g_i : i = 1,\dots,t\}$ be a monic Gröbner basis of the ideal.

For a monomial x^α, $J_{x^\alpha} = \{i : g_i \in G, \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \mid x^\alpha\}$. For a monomial x^α such that $\mathrm{lm}(g_i) \nmid x^\alpha$, for all $g_i \in G$, we have $J_{x^\alpha} = \phi$. Therefore, the leading coefficient ideal corresponding to those x^αs, $I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$ is $\{0\}$ and the set of coset representatives $C_{J_{x^\alpha}}$ for $A/I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$ is the entire ring, A.

For a monomial x^α such that for some g_i, $\mathrm{lm}(g_i) \mid x^\alpha$, we have $J_{x^\alpha} \neq \phi$. Since all the $g_i \in G$ are monic, $I_{J_{x^\alpha}} = \{1\}$, and therefore the set of coset representatives consist of only 0.

The only monomials that are part of the generating set therefore are monomials x^α such that $\mathrm{lm}(g_i) \nmid x^\alpha$, for all $g_i \in G$. Let $S = \{x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a} : \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \nmid x^\alpha, \forall g_i \in G\}$. Let S' be any subset of S. Consider,

$$\sum_{x^{\alpha_j}+\mathfrak{a}\in S'} b_j(x^{\alpha_j} + \mathfrak{a}) = 0, \quad b_j \in A, \quad b_j \neq 0.$$

This implies,

$$\sum_{x^{\alpha_j}+\mathfrak{a}\in S'} b_j x^{\alpha_j} + \mathfrak{a} = 0.$$

Therefore we have,

$$\sum_{x^{\alpha_j}+\mathfrak{a}\in S'} b_j x^{\alpha_j} \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

But that means $\mathrm{lt}(g_i) \mid x^{\alpha_j}$ for some j and for some $g_i \in G$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, S is a basis for $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\langle G\rangle$. Thus the A-module $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free. □

Note that in the above theorem $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ need not be finitely generated. If $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated and the Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ is monic, then there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a} \cong A^N$.

For the sufficient condition we need the concept of short reduced Gröbner basis (which was mentioned earlier) that we formally state here.

Definition 3.9. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be an ideal. A reduced Gröbner basis G of $\mathfrak{a}$ is called a short reduced Gröbner basis if for each $x^\alpha \in \mathrm{lm}(G)$, the generating set for its leading coefficient ideal, $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha,\mathfrak{a})$ is minimal.*

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a non-zero ideal such that $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is a finitely generated A-module and let G be a short reduced Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. If $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free, then G is monic.*

Proof. Let $G = \{g_i : i = 1,\dots,t\}$ be a short reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal.

For a monomial x^α, we have $J_{x^\alpha} = \{i : g_i \in G, \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \mid x^\alpha\}$. For a monomial x^α such that $\mathrm{lm}(g_i) \nmid x^\alpha$, for all $g_i \in G$, we have $J_{x^\alpha} = \phi$. This means that the leading coefficient ideal, $I_{J_{x^\alpha}} = \{0\}$ and the set of coset representatives for $A/I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$, $C_{J_{x^\alpha}}$ is the entire ring, A.

For a monomial x^α such that some $\mathrm{lm}(g_i) \mid x^\alpha$, we have $J_{x^\alpha} \neq \phi$. We have, $I_{J_{x^\alpha}} = \langle \mathrm{lc}(g_i) : i \in J_{x^\alpha} \rangle$. Since $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\langle G \rangle$ is free, there are only two possibilities for the set of coset representatives in the coefficient ring A, either $C_{J_{x^\alpha}} = A$ or $C_{J_{x^\alpha}} = \{0\}$. Since we have $J_{x^\alpha} \neq \phi$, this means that $I_{J_{x^\alpha}} \neq \{0\}$ and that rules out the possibility of $C_{J_{x^\alpha}} = A$. So the only possibility that remains is $C_{J_{x^\alpha}} = \{0\}$. That means $I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$ is equal to $\langle 1 \rangle$.

Suppose the short reduced Gröbner basis G is not monic. Let $g \in G$ such that g is not monic and $\mathrm{lm}(g_j) \nmid \mathrm{lm}(g)$, for all $g_j \in G$, such that $\mathrm{lm}(g) \neq \mathrm{lm}(g_j)$. This is assured since if there is a $g_j \in G$ such that $\mathrm{lm}(g_j) \mid \mathrm{lm}(g)$ then either $\mathrm{lc}(g_j) = 1$ or $\mathrm{lc}(g_j) \neq 1$. If $\mathrm{lc}(g_j) = 1$ then g can be removed from the reduced basis which contradicts the uniqueness of the reduced Gröbner basis. If g_j is not monic then we can take $g = g_j$.

Let $\mathrm{lm}(g) = r$. We have, $I_{J_r} = \langle 1 \rangle$ since $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free. This means that $\langle \mathrm{lc}(g_i) : g_i \in G, g_i \mid r \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$. By our choice of g the ideal consists of only the leading coefficients of those generators g_i such that $\mathrm{lm}(g_i) = r$. Thus we have the leading coefficient ideal of generators with the same degree as g, $\langle \mathrm{lc}(\mathrm{deg}(g), \mathfrak{a}) \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$. The minimal generating set for $\langle \mathrm{lc}(\mathrm{deg}(g), \mathfrak{a}) \rangle$, $\mathrm{Gen}(\langle \mathrm{lc}(\mathrm{deg}(g), \mathfrak{a}) \rangle) = \{1\}$ which implies $\mathrm{Gen}(\mathrm{deg}(g), \mathfrak{a}) = \{1\}$, as any other set of elements from A that generate $\{1\}$ will be of size > 1 and therefore not be minimal. To construct the short reduced Gröbner basis we assumed that $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ is a minimal generating set. Therefore $\mathrm{Gen}(\mathrm{deg}(g), \mathfrak{a}) = \{1\}$ and g is a monic polynomial, which contradicts the fact that the basis G is not monic. □

Now we state the characterization result as follows.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a non-zero ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated. Let G be a short reduced Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. some monomial ordering. Then,*

$$A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} \cong A^N, \quad \text{for some } N \in \mathbb{N}$$

if and only if G is monic.

The necessity of minimality of $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ for the characterization can be illustrated by the following example.

Example 3.12. *Consider Example 3.6. We have $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ given by its Gröbner basis, $G = \{3x^2, 5x^2, y\}$. The Gröbner basis $G = \{3x^2, 5x^2, y\}$ is a reduced Gröbner basis for the ideal when we select the generators for the leading coefficient ideal for each leading monomial in G, $\mathrm{Gen}(\langle \mathrm{lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle)$ as the same set given in the example. It is not a monic basis. But one can see that $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle G \rangle$ is free. A short reduced Gröbner basis for the same ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, determined by considering the* gcd *of the generators of the leading coefficient ideal of each monomial in G, is $\{x^2, y\}$. The generating set $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ is minimal when the* gcd *of the generators is considered. The short reduced Gröbner basis is monic and leads us to the correct conclusion that $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle G \rangle$ is free.*

4. Macaulay-Buchberger Basis Theorem Over Rings

The Macaulay Basis Theorem can be extended directly from fields to rings, i.e. $S = \{x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a} : x^\alpha$ is a standard monomial, i.e. $x^\alpha \notin \langle \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})\rangle\}$ is a A-module basis for $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ if $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free. We extend below the Macaulay-Buchberger Basis Theorem over rings. It gives an algorithmic method to compute the A-module basis for $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ if $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free.

Theorem 4.1 (Macaulay-Buchberger Basis Theorem Over Rings)**.** *Let $G = \{g_1,\dots,g_t\}$ be a short reduced Gröbner basis for an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1,\dots,x_n]$. Suppose G is monic then a A-module basis for $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\langle\mathfrak{a}\rangle$ is given by $S = \{x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a} : \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \nmid x^\alpha, i = 1,\dots,t\}$.*

Proof. From the characterization result we have that $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free if and only if the short reduced Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$ is monic. The proof is along the same lines as Theorem 3.8. □

In the above theorem the necessity for G to be a short reduced Gröbner basis can be explained as follows. Unlike in the case of fields, for any Gröbner basis G in $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ and any $x^\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$, $x^\alpha \in \langle \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})\rangle$ does not imply $\mathrm{lt}(g_i) \mid x^\alpha$, for some $g_i \in G$. If G is the short reduced Gröbner basis and $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\langle\mathfrak{a}\rangle$ is free then each $g_i \in G$ is monic. We then have $x^\alpha \in \langle \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})\rangle$ if and only if $\mathrm{lt}(g_i) \mid x^\alpha$, for some $g_i \in G$.

This is explained in the following example.

Example 4.2. *Consider an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x,y]$ generated by the Gröbner basis, $G = \{3x^2, 5x^2, y\}$. It can be seen that $3x^2$ cannot be reduced further by $\{5x^2, y\}$. Similarly, $5x^2$ is minimal w.r.t. the set $\{3x^2, y\}$ and y is minimal w.r.t. $\{3x^2, 5x^2\}$. Therefore G is a minimal Gröbner basis. Consider the monomial x^2. It is in the ideal, $\langle \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})\rangle$ since $x^2 = (2)(5)x^2 - (3)(3)x^2$. But none of the leading terms divide x^2, $5x^2 \nmid x^2$, $3x^2 \nmid x^2$ and $y \nmid x^2$. Now consider a short reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal, $\{x^2, y\}$. A $\mathbb{Z}$-module basis of $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]/\mathfrak{a}$ is the set of residue classes of $\{x^\alpha : x^2 \nmid x^\alpha, y \nmid x^\alpha\} = \{1 + \mathfrak{a}, x + \mathfrak{a}\}$.*

In the zero-dimensional case, Gröbner basis generalizes the notion of Gaussian elimination by generating a maximum possible triangular system of polynomial equations over a field (Theorem 2.2.7 in (Adams & Loustaunau, 1994)). We can extend this result to the case of polynomials over rings too, as shown below.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ and let $G = \{g_1,\dots,g_t\}$ be a monic short reduced Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. We have from the characterization that $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free. The following statements are equivalent.*

- *(i) For each $i = 1,\dots,n$, there exists $j \in \{1,\dots,t\}$ such that $\mathrm{lm}(g_j) = x_i^\nu$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$.*
- *(ii) The rank of the free A-module, $A[x_1,\dots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finite.*

Proof. (i)$\Longrightarrow$ (ii). The short reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is monic implies that the A-module $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free and the basis is the set of cosets of monomials such that none of the leading monomials of the Gröbner basis divide the monomial. Since for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ such that $\mathrm{lm}(g_j) = x_i^{\nu}$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, there are only finitely many power products which are reduced w.r.t. G and hence the dimension of the free A-module is finite.

(ii)$\Longrightarrow$ (i). We have that the rank of the free A-module $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finite. Assume for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there is no $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ such that $\mathrm{lm}(g_j) = x_i^{\nu}$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the powers of x_i, $1, x_i, x_i^2, \dots$ are linearly independent and therefore contradicts the finite rank of the A-module, $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. □

Our characterization (Proposition 3.11) and the Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem (Theorem 4.1) give rise to an algorithm (Algorithm 1) to compute a A-module basis of a free residue class ring, $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, when it is finitely generated. The correctness of the algorithm directly follows from the characterization of a free $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ and the Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem. The termination of the algorithm is ensured since we have a finitely generated, free A-module, $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ which implies it has a finite basis.

Algorithm 1 Finding the A-module basis of finitely generated residue class polynomial rings over rings

```
Input $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$,
$G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$, a short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$,
$S \subseteq A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$,
$M \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$.
Output $S = A$-module basis of $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$.
$S = \phi$, $M = \phi$
if $G$ is not monic then
  $A$-module basis for $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ does not exist.
else
  while $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0} \setminus M \neq \phi$ do
    for each monomial $x^\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0} \setminus M$
    if $\mathrm{lm}(g_i) \mid x^\alpha$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ then
      $M = M \cup \{x^\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0} : x^\alpha \mid x^\beta\}$
    else
      $S = S \cup \{x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a}\}$
      $M = M \cup \{x^\alpha\}$
    end if
  end while
end if
```

5. SPECIAL CASES, $A = \mathbb{Z}$ AND $A = \Bbbk[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m]$

Now we look at two special cases $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and $A = \Bbbk[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m]$. In the case of $A = \mathbb{Z}$ we make use of the fact that the ring is a PID in the proof and in the case of $A = \Bbbk[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m]$ we rely on the existence of a unique strong reduced Gröbner basis for any ideal in $\Bbbk[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m]$ (Nabeshima, 2009).

5.1. **Special case : $A = \mathbb{Z}$.** For general rings, we arrived at the conclusion that if a finitely generated A-module $\mathbb{A}[x_1 \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free then its short reduced Gröbner basis G is monic, by finding a contradiction to the minimality of the generating set. But here we argue that if the reduced Gröbner basis is not monic then there exists some g such that $\langle \mathrm{lc}(\deg(g), \mathfrak{a})\rangle = \langle c \rangle$, where $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c \neq 1$. But this means that the set of coset representatives for the monomial $\mathrm{lm}(g)$, $C_{J_{\mathrm{lm}(g)}}$ can never be zero. This is a contradiction to our assumption that $\mathbb{Z}[x_1 \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathbb{Z}^N$.

An example which illustrates the characterization is the case when the ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a lattice ideal. A lattice ideal, $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{L}}$ in $\Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is defined as the binomial ideal generated by $\{x^{v^+} - x^{v^-}\}$ where v^+ and v^- are non-negative with disjoint support and $v^+ - v^- \in \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a lattice. Lattice ideals in polynomial rings over $\mathbb{Z}$ can be defined in the same way. In this case, the binomial ideal is generated over the polynomial ring, $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. The generators of the ideal are binomials with the terms having opposite sign and the coefficients of both the terms equal to absolute value 1. When we compute the Gröbner basis of the ideal, at every stage of the computation – S-polynomial calculation and reduction – we add generators that are binomials with terms having opposite sign and coefficients of absolute value 1. Therefore the Gröbner basis is monic which implies that the short reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal is also monic. From the characterization we have that the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is free. We will now formally state the result.

Theorem 5.1. *The quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{L}}$, where $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a lattice ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, is free.*

Another application of the characterization is that we can identify ideals in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for which all the ideals in the corresponding residue class polynomial rings are integer lattices, i.e. all ideals are ideal lattices. We formally state that below.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an ideal. All ideals in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ are integer lattices if and only if the short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ is monic.*

5.2. **Special case : $A = \Bbbk[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m]$.** We now consider the next special case where the coefficient ring is itself a polynomial ring over the field $\Bbbk$, $\Bbbk[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m]$. We consider here the definition of strong reduced Gröbner basis defined in (Nabeshima, 2009) and give an alternate characterization for this definition as well. The strong reduced Gröbner basis defined in (Nabeshima, 2009) is specific to polynomial rings over polynomial rings.

For ease of notation, we denote the indeterminates $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m$ as Θ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ as X.

Definition 5.3 (Strong Reduced Gröbner Basis (Nabeshima, 2009))**.** *Let* $\prec_{X,\Theta} := (\prec_1, \prec_2)$ *be a block ordering,* $\mathfrak{a}$ *an ideal in* $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]$ *and* G *a subset of* $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]$*. For* $e \in \mathrm{lm}_X(G)$*, let* $G_e = \{f \in G \mid \mathrm{lm}_X(f) = e\}$*. Then a strong reduced Gröbner basis* G *for* $\mathfrak{a}$ *w.r.t. to* $\prec_1$ *and* $\prec_2$ *is a Gröbner basis for* $\mathfrak{a}$ *in* $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]$ *such that for all* $p \in G$*,*

(i) no term in p *lies in* $\langle \mathrm{lt}(G \backslash \{p\}) \rangle$ *in* $\mathbb{k}[\Theta, X]$ *w.r.t.* $\prec_{X,\Theta}$*,*
(ii) no term in p *lies in* $\langle \mathrm{lt}_X(G \backslash \{p\}) \rangle$ *in* $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]$ *w.r.t.* $\prec_1$*,*
(iii) for $e \in \mathrm{lm}_X(G)$*,* $\mathrm{lc}_X(G_e)$ *is the reduced Gröbner basis for an ideal generated by itself w.r.t.* $\prec_2$ *in the quotient ring* $\mathbb{k}[\Theta]/\mathfrak{J}_e$ *where* $\mathfrak{J}_e$ *is an ideal generated by* $\mathfrak{L} = \{\mathrm{lc}_X(g) \mid g \in G \backslash G_e \text{ such that } \mathrm{lm}(g) \mid e\}$*.*

We give below the necessary and sufficient condition for a finitely generated $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]/\mathfrak{a}$ to be a free $\mathbb{k}[\Theta]$-module, in terms of strong reduced Gröbner basis.

Theorem 5.4. *Let* $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]$ *be a non-zero ideal such that* $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]/\mathfrak{a}$ *is finitely generated. Let* $\prec_{X,\Theta} := (\prec_1, \prec_2)$ *be a block ordering and let* G *be the strong reduced Gröbner basis for* $\mathfrak{a}$ *w.r.t.* $\prec_1$ *and* $\prec_2$ *. Then,*

$$\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]/\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathbb{k}[\Theta]^N, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

if and only if G *is monic.*

Proof. The proof for if $G = \{g_i : i = 1, \ldots, t\}$ is a monic Gröbner basis of the ideal, $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec_1$ and $\prec_2$ then $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free is same as Theorem 3.8, with $A = \mathbb{k}[\Theta]$. The set, $S = \{x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a} : \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \nmid x^\alpha, \forall g_i \in G\}$ forms the A-module basis. Note that in the proof, $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]/\mathfrak{a}$ need not be finitely generated. If $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated, then there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]/\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathbb{k}[\Theta]^N$.

Conversely, let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]$ be an ideal such that $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]/\mathfrak{a}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{k}[\Theta]$-module and let G be the strong reduced Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. Assume $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free, we have to prove that G is monic. In Definition 5.3 of strong reduced Gröbner basis, consider the third condition. We have, for any monomial x^α in the strong reduced Gröbner basis, $\mathrm{lc}_X(G_{x^\alpha})$ is the reduced Gröbner basis in the ring $\mathbb{k}[\Theta]/\mathfrak{J}_{x^\alpha}$. Suppose the strong reduced Gröbner basis G is not monic. Then, there exists a $g \in G$ such that g is not monic w.r.t. $\prec_1$ and $\mathrm{lm}(g_j) \nmid \mathrm{lm}(g)$, for all $g_j \in G$, such that $\mathrm{lm}(g_j) \neq \mathrm{lm}(g)$. Let $\mathrm{lm}_X(g) = r$. Since g is not monic, $\langle \mathrm{lc}_X(G_r) \rangle \neq 1$ in $\mathbb{k}[\Theta]/\mathfrak{J}_r$. But $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]/\langle G \rangle \cong \mathbb{k}[\Theta]^N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, implies that $I_{J_r} = \langle 1 \rangle$. This means $\langle \mathrm{lc}_X(g_i) : g_i \in G, \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \mid r \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$. But $\langle \mathrm{lc}_X(g_i) : g_i \in G, \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \mid r \rangle = \langle \mathrm{lc}_X(G_r) \rangle + \mathfrak{J}_r$. We have $\langle \mathrm{lc}_X(G_r) \rangle + \mathfrak{J}_r = \langle 1 \rangle$. This means $\langle \mathrm{lc}_X(G_r) \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$ in $\mathbb{k}[\Theta]/\mathfrak{J}_r$ which contradicts the fact that G is not monic. Therefore G is a monic basis. □

Example 5.5. *Consider the ring* $\mathbb{k}[a][x]$*. We have a Gröbner basis* $G = \{f_1, f_2\}$ *where* $f_1 = a^2x - a, f_2 = (a^3 - 1)x - a^2 + 1$*. The set of all leading monomials in* G *is* $\{x\}$*. We have* $G_x = \{f_1, f_2\}$ *and* $\mathrm{lc}_{\{x\}}(G_x) = \{a^2, a^3 - 1\}\}$*. Since there are no other monomials other than* x *we have* $\mathfrak{L} = \phi$*, which implies* $\mathbb{k}[a]/\mathfrak{J}_x = \mathbb{k}[a]$*. So now as per the third condition in the definition we* *have that* $\mathrm{lc}_{\{x\}}(G_x)$ *should be a reduced Gröbner basis in* $\mathbb{k}[a]$*. The reduced Gröbner basis of* $\{a^2, a^3-1\} = \{1\}$*. Therefore, we compute a new polynomial* g *such that* $\langle g\rangle = \langle G\rangle$*,* $\langle \mathrm{lm}_{\{x\}}(g)\rangle = \langle \mathrm{lm}_{\{x\}}(G)\rangle$ *and* $\mathrm{lc}_{\{x\}}(g) = \{1\}$*. This* $g = a.f_1 - f_2 = x-1$*. Thus* $\{g\}$ *is the strong reduced Gröbner basis and it is monic. This implies* $\mathbb{k}[a][x]/\langle G\rangle$ *is a free* $\mathbb{k}[a]$*–module.*

Now, we proceed to study how strong reduced Gröbner bases is related to short reduced Gröbner bases. Let $\prec_{X,\Theta} := (\prec_1, \prec_2)$ be a block ordering and $\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal in $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]$. For each $x^\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$, we can construct a minimal generating set for $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ by taking the reduced Gröbner basis w.r.t. $\prec_2$ as the set of generators for any ideal I in $\mathbb{k}[\Theta]$ and for any polynomial $h \in \mathbb{k}[\Theta]$, by considering the mapping $\eta(h, I)$ as the normal form of h w.r.t. I and $\prec_2$. The corresponding Pauer's reduced Gröbner basis is the short reduced Gröbner basis. One can see from the below result that short reduced Gröbner basis is also the strong reduced Gröbner basis.

Proposition 5.6. *Let* $\prec_{X,\Theta} := (\prec_1, \prec_2)$ *be a block ordering and* $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]$ *be an ideal. Let* G *be the short reduced Gröbner basis of* $\mathfrak{a}$ *constructed by taking the reduced Gröbner basis w.r.t.* $\prec_2$ *as the set of generators for any ideal* I *in* $\mathbb{k}[\Theta]$ *and for any polynomial* $h \in \mathbb{k}[\Theta]$*, by considering the mapping* $\eta(h, I)$ *as the normal form of* h *w.r.t.* I *and* $\prec_2$*. Then* G *is the strong reduced Gröbner basis.*

Proof. We have to prove that the short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$, $G = \{g_i : i = 1, \ldots, t\}$ satisfies that the three conditions mentioned in Definition 5.3.

(i) Suppose a term in $p \in G$ lies in $\langle \mathrm{lt}(G\backslash\{p\})\rangle$ in $\mathbb{k}[\Theta, X]$ w.r.t. $\prec_{X,\Theta}$. Let that term be $a\theta^\beta x^\alpha$, where $a \in \mathbb{k}$, θ^β is a monomial in $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$. The coefficient of the term, $a\theta^\beta x^\alpha$ in $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]$ is $a\theta^\beta$. We have, $\theta^\beta \in \langle \mathrm{lc}_X(\mathrm{lt}(G\backslash\{p\}))\rangle$. Since $\langle \mathrm{lc}_X(\mathrm{lt}(G\backslash\{p\}))\rangle$ is a monomial ideal, θ^β is divisible by $\mathrm{lc}_X(\mathrm{lt}(g_i))$ for some $g_i \in G\backslash\{p\}$. Since we have a block ordering with ordering of X variables taking precedence over the Θ variables, it can be seen that $\mathrm{lc}_X(\mathrm{lt}(g_i))$ is the leading monomial of the polynomial $\mathrm{lc}_X(g_i)$ in $\mathbb{k}[\Theta]$. Let us first look at the case when $a\theta^\beta$ is a term in the leading coefficient, $\mathrm{lc}_X(p)$. We have that $\mathrm{lc}_X(p)$ is an element of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $\langle \mathrm{lc}_X(\deg(\mathrm{lc}_X(p)), \mathfrak{a})\rangle$ and therefore no term in $\mathrm{lc}_X(p)$ (including $a\theta^\beta$) can be reduced by the leading monomials of the polynomials in the reduced Gröbner basis other than itself. We therefore have a contradiction. Now we consider the case when $a\theta^\beta$ is not a term in the leading coefficient. Then we have from the definition of reduced Gröbner basis that θ^β is the normal form w.r.t. the ideal $\langle \mathrm{lc}(\deg(\theta^\beta), \mathfrak{a})\rangle$ and $\prec_2$. But since $\theta^\beta \in \langle \mathrm{lc}_X(\mathrm{lt}(G\backslash\{p\}))\rangle$, we have that the normal form is zero which is a contradiction.

(ii) Suppose a term in $p \in G$ lies in $\langle \mathrm{lt}_X(G\backslash\{p\})\rangle$ in $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]$ w.r.t. $\prec_1$. Let $h(\theta) \in \mathbb{k}[\Theta]$ be the coefficient of that term in $\mathbb{k}[\Theta][X]$. We have, $h(\theta) \in \langle \mathrm{lc}_X(\mathrm{lt}_X(G\backslash\{p\}))\rangle$. Let us first look at the case when $h(\theta)$ is the leading coefficient of p, $\mathrm{lc}_X(p)$. We have that $\mathrm{lc}_X(p)$ is an element of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $\langle \mathrm{lc}_X(\deg(\mathrm{lc}_X(p)), \mathfrak{a})\rangle$ and therefore cannot be reduced by the leading monomials of the polynomials in the reduced Gröbner basis other than itself. We therefore have a contradiction. Now we consider the case when $h(\theta)$ is not the leading coefficient. Then we have from the definition of reduced Gröbner basis that $h(\theta)$ is the normal form w.r.t. the ideal $\langle \mathrm{lc}(\deg(h(\theta)), \mathfrak{a})\rangle$ and $\prec_2$. Since $h(\theta) \in \langle \mathrm{lc}_X(\mathrm{lt}(G\backslash\{p\}))\rangle$, we have that the normal form is zero which is a contradiction.

(iii) In short reduced Gröbner basis, for each $e \in \mathrm{lm}_X(G)$ we choose the reduced Gröbner basis for the ideal, $\langle \mathrm{lc}_X(g_i) : g_i \in G, \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \mid e\rangle$ in $\Bbbk[\Theta]$ as its generators. We have $\mathrm{lc}_X(G_e) = \{\mathrm{lc}_X(g_i) : g_i \in G, \mathrm{lm}_X(g_i) = e\}$. Since $\mathrm{lc}_X(G_e)$ is a subset of $\mathrm{Gen}(\langle \mathrm{lc}_X(g_i) : g_i \in G, \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \mid e\rangle)$, it is the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal generated by itself in $\Bbbk[\Theta]$. In the short reduced Gröbner basis G over $\Bbbk[\Theta][X]$, the coefficient of each term of a basis element is the normal form w.r.t. the ideal formed by the leading coefficients of all $g_i \in G$ such that $\mathrm{lm}(g_i)$ divides the term. Therefore, $\mathrm{lc}_X(G_e)$ is the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal generated by itself in $\Bbbk[\Theta]/\mathfrak{J}_e$ where $\mathfrak{J}_e$ is an ideal generated by $\mathfrak{L} = \{\mathrm{lc}_X(g) \mid g \in G\backslash G_e \text{ such that } \mathrm{lm}(g) \mid e\}$.

Thus the short Gröbner basis G satisfies the three conditions of Definition 5.3, hence it is the strong reduced Gröbner basis. ☐

Proposition 5.7. *Let* $\prec_{X,\Theta} := (\prec_1, \prec_2)$ *be a block ordering and* $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \Bbbk[\Theta][X]$ *be an ideal. Let G be the strong reduced Gröbner basis for* $\mathfrak{a}$ *w.r.t.* $\prec_{X,\Theta}$*. Then G is the short reduced Gröbner basis for* $\mathfrak{a}$*.*

Proof. For every ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\Bbbk[\Theta][X]$ there exists a short reduced Gröbner basis. We have shown in the above proposition that the short reduced Gröbner basis is a strong reduced Gröbner basis. We have that strong reduced Gröbner basis for an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\Bbbk[\Theta][X]$ is unique (Nabeshima, 2009). This implies that the strong reduced Gröbner basis G is the short reduced Gröbner basis. ☐

We would like to mention here that Nabeshima's strong reduced Gröbner basis should not be confused with the concept of strong Gröbner basis defined for ideals in polynomial rings over PIDs (Adams & Loustaunau, 1994). To avoid confusion, we mention the former as strong reduced Gröbner basis and the latter as strong Gröbner basis. We give below the definition of strong Gröbner basis.

Definition 5.8. *Let* $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *be a set of non-zero polynomials. G is said to be a strong Gröbner basis for the ideal* $\mathfrak{a}$ *it generates, if for each* $f \in \mathfrak{a}$*, there exists a* $g_i \in G$ *such that* $\mathrm{lt}(g_i) \mid \mathrm{lt}(f)$*.*

The definition does not require A to be a PID but it can be easily shown that strong Gröbner bases exist only if A is a PID. In a PID, strong Gröbner basis coincides with the short reduced Gröbner basis. We give below an example to illustrate the difference between strong Gröbner basis and short reduced Gröbner basis.

Example 5.9. *Consider the polynomial ring,* $\Bbbk[a_1, a_2][x]$*. Let* $G = \{a_1^2 x, a_2^2 x\}$ *be the set of generators of an ideal in* $\Bbbk[a_1, a_2][x]$*.* G *is the short reduced Gröbner basis for the ideal since* $\{a_1^2, a_2^2\}$ *is the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal it generates in* $\Bbbk[a_1, a_2]$*. Consider* $f = (a_1^3 + a_2^3)x$*. We have,* $\mathrm{lt}(G) = \{a_1^2 x, a_2^2 x\}$ *and* $\mathrm{lt}(f) = (a_1^3 + a_2^3)x$*. There exists no* $g \in G$ *such that* $\mathrm{lt}(g) \mid \mathrm{lt}(f)$*. But,* $\mathrm{lt}(f) \in \langle \mathrm{lt}(G) \rangle$*. Therefore,* G *is not a strong Gröbner basis.*

6. Border Basis over Residue Class Rings over Rings

Border bases have proven to be a numerically more stable tool to describe zero-dimensional ideals in $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ than Gröbner bases (Kreuzer & Robbiano, 2005). In this section, based on the characterization given in Proposition 3.11, we extend border bases to polynomial rings over the ring A.

We can define the order ideal and the border of an order ideal in the same way as we have in $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Definition 6.1. *A finite set* $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ *is called an order ideal if* $x^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ *and* $x^\beta \mid x^\alpha$ *where* $x^\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ *implies* $x^\beta \in \mathcal{O}$*.*

Definition 6.2. *Let* $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ *be an order ideal, then border of* $\mathcal{O}$ *is defined as*

$$\partial\mathcal{O} = (x_1\mathcal{O} \cup x_2\mathcal{O} \cdots \cup x_n\mathcal{O}) \setminus \mathcal{O}$$

We now define the $\mathcal{O}$- border prebasis. The only difference we have here is that the coefficients come from the ring A.

Definition 6.3. *Let* $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$*,* $\mathcal{O} = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_s}\}$ *be an order ideal and* $\partial\mathcal{O} = \{x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, x^{\beta_t}\}$ *be the border of* $\mathcal{O}$*. Then a finite set of polynomials* $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_t\} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *is said to be* $\mathcal{O}$*- border prebasis if* $\{b_1, \ldots, b_t\}$ *are of the form,*

$$b_i = x^{\beta_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{s} c_{ij} x^{\alpha_j}, c_{ij} \in A.$$

Given an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *if* $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ *then* $\mathcal{B}$ *is said to be an* $\mathcal{O}$*-border prebasis of* $\mathfrak{a}$*.*

We give below the definition of border basis. Once we have an $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis with coefficients from the ring the definition of border basis directly follows.

Definition 6.4. *Let* $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$*,* $\mathcal{O} = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_s}\}$ *be an order ideal and* $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_t\} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *be an* $\mathcal{O}$*-border prebasis. Let* $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *be an ideal such that* $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ *is finitely generated and is a free* A*-module. Then* $\mathcal{B}$ *is said to be an* $\mathcal{O}$*-border basis if* $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ *and* $\mathcal{O} = \{x^\alpha : \mathrm{lm}(g) \nmid x^\alpha, \forall g \in G,$ *where* G *is a monic short reduced Gröbner basis of* $\mathfrak{a}\}$*.*

We give below certain results associated with border basis in $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ that are valid in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ as well. The proofs of these theorems are exactly in the same lines as in $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and hence we skip them here. Note that in fields, border basis is defined only for zero-dimensional ideals.

In rings, $\mathcal{O}$-border basis exists if and only if $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated and free, i.e. if a short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ is monic.

We have the following theorem that illustrates how border bases generalize the notion of Gröbner bases.

Theorem 6.5. *Let* $\mathcal{O} = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_s}\}$ *be an order ideal and* $\mathcal{B} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *be an* $\mathcal{O}$*-border basis of an ideal* $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$*. Then* $\mathcal{B}$ *generates* $\mathfrak{a}$*.*

Uniqueness of the $\mathcal{O}$-border basis can be extended easily to rings.

Theorem 6.6. *Let* $\mathcal{O} = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_s}\}$ *be an order ideal and let* $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *be an ideal such that* $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ *is finitely generated and is a free* A*-module. Assume that* $\mathcal{O} = \{x^\alpha : \mathrm{lm}(g) \nmid x^\alpha, \forall g \in G,$ *where* G *is a monic short reduced Gröbner basis of* $\mathfrak{a}\}$*. Then there exists an unique* $\mathcal{O}$*-border basis,* $\mathcal{B}$ *of* A*.*

The below theorem illustrates the uniqueness of the remainder when we reduce it with an $\mathcal{O}$-border basis.

Theorem 6.7. *Let* $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_t\} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *be an* $\mathcal{O}$*-border basis of an ideal* $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$*. Let* $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$*, then remainder of* f *when we reduce it with* $\mathcal{B}$ *is unique.*

Example 6.8. *Let* $\mathcal{O} = \{1, x\}$ *be an order ideal in* $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$*. The border of* $\mathcal{O}$*,* $\partial\mathcal{O} = \{x^2, y, xy\}$*. Then* $\mathcal{B} = \{x^2 - 1, y - 1, xy - x\}$ *is an* $\mathcal{O}$ *- border prebasis. Consider the ideal* $\mathfrak{a}$ *generated by* $\{x^2 - 1, y - 1, xy - x\}$*. Clearly,* $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$*. Consider,* $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\mathfrak{a}$*. First, we have to determine if it is free and we use our characterization result for that. Then, we need to determine if the order ideal* $\mathcal{O}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}$*-module basis of the quotient ring. Consider the generator set* $\mathcal{B} = \{x^2 - 1, y - 1, xy - x\}$*. A Gröbner basis of this ideal is* $\{x^2 - 1, y - 1\}$*. Since it is monic,* $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\mathfrak{a}$ *is free. The order ideal* $\mathcal{O} = \{1, x\}$ *forms a* $\mathbb{Z}$*-module basis of* $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\mathfrak{a}$*. This means* $\mathcal{B} = \{x^2 - 1, y - 1, xy - x\}$ *is an* $\mathcal{O}$*-border basis of the ideal,* $\mathfrak{a}$*.*

Summary

In this paper, we introduced the concept of short reduced Gröbner bases with which we characterized a finitely generated, free residue class polynomial ring over a ring, A. The characterization identifies the residue class polynomial rings in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ for which all ideals in them are lattices (subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}^N$). The characterization gives rise to an algorithm, that uses short reduced Gröbner bases, to compute an A-module basis for a finitely generated, free residue class polynomial ring over A. Using this, we show that the concept of Border bases can be extended to polynomial rings over rings.

References

Ackermann, P. & Kreuzer, M. (2006). Gröbner Basis Cryptosystems. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing* **17**(3–4), 173–194.

ADAMS, W. & LOUSTAUNAU, P. (1994). *An Introduction to Gröbner Bases.* American Mathematical Society.

AJTAI, M. (1996). Generating Hard instances of Lattice Problems (Extended Abstract). In: *Proceedings of the 1996 ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, STOC* (MILLER, G. L., ed.). ACM.

ARNOLD, E. A. (2003). Modular algorithms for Computing Gröbner bases. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **35**, 403–419.

BUCHBERGER, B. (1965). *An Algorithm for Finding a Basis for the Residue Class Ring of a Zero-Dimensional Polynomial Ideal (in German).* Ph.D. thesis, University of Innsbruck, Austria. (reprinted in Buchberger (2006)).

BUCHBERGER, B. (2006). Bruno Buchberger's PhD thesis 1965: An algorithm for finding the basis elements of the residue class ring of a zero dimensional polynomial ideal. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **41**, 475–511.

EISENBUD, D., PEEVA, I. & STURMFELS, B. (1998). Non-commutative Gröbner Bases for Commutative Algebras. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **126**, 687–691.

GREUEL, G.-M., SEELISCH, F. & WIENAND, O. (2011). The Gröbner Basis of the Ideal of Vanishing Polynomials. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **46**(5), 561–570.

G.ZACHARIAS (1978). *Generalized Gröbner Bases in Commutative Polynomial Rings.* Master's thesis, MIT,Cambridge,MA.

HOFFSTEIN, J., PIPHER, J. & SILVERMAN, J. (1998). NTRU: A Ring-Based Public Key Cryptosystem. In: *Algorithmic Number Theory* (BUHLER, J., ed.), vol. 1423 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science.* Springer.

KREUZER, M. & ROBBIANO, L. (2000). *Computational Commutative Algebra 1.* Springer.

KREUZER, M. & ROBBIANO, L. (2005). *Computational Commutative Algebra 2.* Springer.

LYUBASHEVSKY, V. (2008). Lattice Based Identification Schemes Secure Under Active Attacks. In: *Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop on Practice and Theory in Public Key Cryptography, 2008* (CRAMER, R., ed.). Springer.

MADLENER, K. & REINERT, B. (1993). Computing Gröbner Bases in Monoid and Group Rings. In: *Proceedings of the 1993 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, ISSAC '93* (BRONSTEIN, M., ed.). ACM.

MICCIANCIO, D. (2002). Generalized Compact Knapsacks, Cyclic Lattices, and Efficient One-Way Functions from Worst-Case Complexity Assumptions. In: *Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS 2002) Proceedings.* IEEE Computer Society.

MÖLLER, H. M. (1988). On the Construction of Gröbner Bases using Syzygies. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **6**(2-3), 345–359.

NABESHIMA, K. (2009). Reduced Gröbner Bases in Polynomial Rings over a Polynomial Ring. *Mathematics in Computer Science* **2**(4), 587–599.

PAUER, F. (2007). Gröbner Bases with Coefficients in Rings. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **42**(11-12).

SATO, Y., INOUE, S., SUZUKI, A., NABESHIMA, K. & SAKAI, K. (2011). Boolean Gröbner bases. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **46**(5), 622–632.

TRINKS, W. (1978). Über B. Buchbergers verfahren, systeme algebraischer Gleichungen zu Lösen. *Journal of Number Theory* **10**(4), 475–488.

E-mail address: `mariaf@csa.iisc.ernet.in, ad@csa.iisc.ernet.in`

DEPT. OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & AUTOMATION, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE - 560012